1 *Caenorhabditis elegans* Exhibits Positive Gravitaxis

2	Wei-l	Long Chen ^{1,3} , Hungtang Ko ¹ , Han-Sheng Chuang ³ , Haim H. Bau ¹ , and David Raizen ²
3	1.	Dept. Mechanical Engineering and Applied Mechanics, University of Pennsylvania,
4		Philadelphia, PA
5	2.	Dept. of Neurology, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania,
6		Philadelphia, PA
7	3.	Department of Biomedical Engineering, National Cheng Kung University (NCKU),
8		Taiwan
9		

10 Abstract

Whether or not the micro swimmer Caenorhabditis elegans senses and respond to gravity is 11 unknown. We find that C. elegans aligns its swimming direction with that of the gravity vector 12 (positive gravitaxis). When placed in an aqueous solution that is denser than the animals, they 13 still orient downwards, indicating that non-uniform mass distribution and/or hydrodynamic 14 15 effects are not responsible for animal's downward orientation. Paralyzed worms and worms with globally disrupted sensory cilia do not change orientation as they settle in solution, 16 indicating that gravitaxis is an active behavior that requires gravisensation. Other types of 17 sensory driven orientation behaviors cannot explain our observed downward orientation. Like 18 19 other neural behaviors, the ability to respond to gravity declines with age. Our study establishes gravitaxis in the micro swimmer C. elegans and suggests that C. elegans can be used as a 20 21 genetically tractable system to study molecular and neural mechanisms of gravity sensing and 22 orientation.

23

24

25 Significance Statement

Understanding how animals respond to gravity is not only of fundamental scientific interest, but has clinical relevance, given the prevalence of postural instability in aged individuals. Determining whether *C. elegans* responds to gravity is important for mechanistic studies of gravity sensing in an experimentally tractable animal, for a better understanding of nematode ecology and evolution, and for studying biological effects of microgravity. Our experiments, which indicate that *C. elegans* senses and responds to gravity, set the stage for mechanistic studies on molecular mechanisms of gravity sensing.

33

34 Introduction

35 Gravity plays an important role in most life forms on earth, ranging from single cells to 36 plants and animals. Plants' roots grow in the direction of gravity (positive gravitropism) and shoots grow in the opposite direction (negative gravitropism) to optimize nutrient uptake and 37 38 exposure to light (1). Aquatic invertebrates use gravity cues to help navigate in the vertical 39 dimension (2). Both terrestrial and aquatic vertebrates know which direction is up. While there are gravity sensory organ differences that relate to the unique ecologies across phylogeny, there 40 41 are also similarities in the anatomical and physiological principles of such organs. Despite the importance of gravity sensing to life on earth, many molecular components of sensing and 42 responding to gravity remain unknown. 43

In this study, we examine whether the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* (*C. elegans*) senses and responds to the direction of gravity. *C. elegans* offers important experimental advantages, including a small and simple nervous system, accessibility to rapid genetic manipulation and to other powerful experimental tools, and ease of cultivation. There is only one report suggesting that *C. elegans* suspended in solution may orient with the gravitational field (3). Since *C. elegans* is heavier than suspending buffers typically used in laboratories, it

settles when suspended in solution (4). Our observations suggest that as wild type animals settle,
they also orient their direction of swimming to align with the direction of the gravity vector.
Does *C. elegans* sense gravity? Is its response to gravitational forces passive or active? We set
to answer these questions in this study.

54

55 Materials and methods:

56 Worm preparation: On the day prior to the experiment, well-fed fourth larval stage hermaphrodites were placed on an agar plate containing a bacterial lawn of OP50. Day-one 57 adult worms were harvested from the agar plate by floating the worms in M9 buffer and then 58 transferring the worm suspension into a 1.5 mL conical tube. Following centrifugation (4000-59 60 5000 rpm) for a few seconds to sediment the worms, the supernatant was decanted. The worms 61 were then washed three times with 1 mL M9 buffer by repeating the centrifugation/decanting steps. We experimented mostly with "recently-fed" worms - the time elapsed from floating the 62 worms off their cultivation plate to the completion of the experiment was < 30 min. A few of 63 the experiments were carried out with "starved" worms - the time elapsed from floating the 64 worms off their cultivation plate to the start of the experiment was ~ 1 hour. 65

To paralyze wild-type worms, we suspended the worms in one milliliter M9 buffer in a 1.5 mL conical tube and placed them for one hour in a water bath at 40°C. The experiment was then performed at room temperature (21~22°C) within 30 minutes from the removal of the animals from the water bath. Observations of these worms showed that they were fully paralyzed for over 30 minutes after the heat shock

71 High density buffer: To achieve a density greater than that of the worms, we mixed a colloidal 72 silica solution (LUDOX HS-40, Sigma, density: 1.3 g/mL at 25°C (5) with M9 buffer at a 73 volume ratio 1:2 to form a solution with density of 1.1 g/mL, which is slightly greater than the worm's density (~1.07 g/mL (6)). The mixture density was measured directly by weighing one
ml of solution. At the density used in our experiment, the suspension behaves like a Newtonian
liquid with a viscosity approximately 7 times that of water (7).

Experimental Apparatus: A cuboid polystyrene cuvette with a square cross-section 12 mm \times 12 mm and heights ranging from 45 to 200 mm filled with M9 buffer at room temperature (21~22°C) were used in our settling experiments. 20 µL of a worm suspension at a concentration of about 1.5 worms per microliter was extracted with a plastic tip pipette and transferred into the cuvette by slowly expelling the worms into the cuvette solution either above or just below the liquid surface.

83 Imaging: The worms were monitored with two cameras (IMAGINGSOURCE DMK 33GP031 84 with a 25 mm lens and IMAGINGSOURCE DMK 22BUC03 with a 12 mm lens) acquiring images at 30 frames per second from two orthogonal planes (Fig. 1). One camera focused on 85 the X-Z plane and the other on the Y-Z plane at the cuvette's center. Each image size was 640 86 \times 480 pixels, which results in an aspect ratio of 4:3. As it settled, a worm stayed about 10 s 87 88 within the field of view of the two cameras, resulting in about 300 double frames for each worm. Images were processed with a Matlab R2018b graphical user interface (GUI), following 89 90 the image processing scheme described in (8) and outlined in the Supporting Information (SI-Section S1). 91

92

93

94

95

96

97 **Results**

Wild Type (WT) *C. elegans* young adults align their swimming direction with the direction of gravity

We inserted first day adult, recently-fed, wild-type (WT) animals just beneath the water surface at the top of our cuvette and monitored the animals' orientation (θ , φ) as a function of time (**Fig. 1**). Here, θ and φ are, respectively, the polar (inclination) and azimuthal angles. $\theta = 180^{\circ}$ is the direction of gravity. Since the worms (density ~1.07 g/mL) are heavier than water (~1 g/mL), they settled to the cuvette's bottom.

105 As time went by, the WT worms varied their swimming direction to align with the 106 direction of the gravity vector. Fig. 2 exemplifies this behavior. The figure depicts time-lapsed frames, 1-second apart, of a WT young adult animal, inserted beneath the water surface and 107 settling in our cuvette. In the first image (A), the animal is a distance ~ 6.5 mm beneath the 108 water surface and faces nearly upwards $\theta \sim 5^\circ$. As time increases, the polar angle θ gradually 109 110 increases. In the last frame (J), the animal is ~11.5 mm beneath the water surface and its polar angle $\theta \sim 142^\circ$. The worm has changed its direction of swimming from nearly upwards to 111 nearly downwards. This behavior is exhibited more clearly in panel K, wherein we translated 112 113 the skeletons of the animal to position their centroids at the same point. Fig. 2K illustrates the 114 animal's tendency to rotate to align its direction of swimming with the direction of gravity.

115 Regardless of initial orientation, given enough time, the animals oriented themselves in 116 the direction of gravity independent of their azimuthal position (SI-Section S2). **Fig. 3** depicts 117 the kernel density estimate KDE $f(\theta)$ (an approximation of the probability distribution function, 118 pdf) (9) of animals' orientations at various depths beneath the liquid surface. Close to the 119 liquid's surface (shortly after release), $f(\theta)$ is nearly symmetric about the horizontal direction 120 $(\theta=90^{\circ})$, indicating lack of orientation bias and equal probability towards upward and 121 downward swimming. The KDE resembles a *sin* function that corresponds to a uniform *pdf* in

the θ -direction. As time goes by, the KDE function skews in the direction of increasing polar angles, indicating that as the worms descend, they rotate to increase their polar angle and align their direction of swimming with the direction of gravity. Our KDE resembles the von-Mises Fisher directional *pdf* (10) with the mean inclination (polar) angle $\theta = 180^{\circ}$ (SI-Section S4):

126
$$f(\theta, \varphi) = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi Sinh\lambda} e^{\lambda \cos(\pi-\theta)} , \qquad (1)$$

127 where the *concentration parameter* λ (reciprocal measure of dispersion) is analogous to the 128 inverse of the variance in a normal distribution. $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ corresponds to a uniform distribution. 129 Since our data is independent of the azimuthal angle φ , we integrate in φ to obtain

130
$$f(\theta) = \frac{\lambda}{2Sinh\lambda} e^{\lambda \cos(\pi-\theta)} \sin(\pi-\theta) \quad . \tag{2}$$

When $\lambda \ge 1$ and $\lambda \ge 3$, over 73% and 95% of the animals are oriented, respectively, at a polar 131 angle $\theta > 90^\circ$. We compute the concentration parameter λ for our data by fitting the cumulative 132 distribution function (cdf) associated with equation 2 (SI-section S4) to the experimental one. 133 134 When the animal is at depth d = 4 mm beneath the surface $\lambda \sim 0.2$ (nearly uniform distribution). As the animal's depth increases (the animal has more time to align with the direction of gravity), 135 the skewness of the KDE and the magnitude of λ increase as well. For the well-fed WT animals 136 λ increases at the approximate rate of 0.07 per mm of depth until it asymptotes to ~ 4.3 at ~60 137 138 mm, and approximately retains this value at depths exceeding 60 mm. KDEs at depths 120 mm 139 < d < 200 mm nearly overlap (SI – Section S5). The inset in Fig. 3 depicts the concentration parameter λ as a function of the animal's depth (d, mm) beneath the liquid surface. The data is 140 141 correlated with the expression

142

$$\lambda(d) = \lambda_{\infty} \left(1 - e^{-\beta d} \right), \tag{3}$$

143 where $\lambda_{\infty} \sim 4.36$ and $\beta \sim 0.03 \text{ mm}^{-1}$. Equation (3) illustrates that after the worm reaches a certain 144 depth, the orientation of the animals attains a stationary state.

145 The sedimentation velocity of a rigid, cylindrical rod depends on the rod's orientation146 with respect to its direction of motion (11); rigid rods settle faster when aligned broadside than

147 when their axis parallels the direction of motion. To test whether this applies to *C. elegans* and 148 to approximately correlate the animal's depth with its residence time in solution, we examined 149 the worm's translational and angular velocities. **Fig. 4** depicts the velocity (*U*) of young adult 150 WT worms' centroid in the direction of swimming as a function of $(-\cos \theta)$. The data is 151 scattered along a straight line and correlates well (R²=0.89, solid line) with the expression.

152
$$U = U_s - U_a \cos \theta. \tag{4}$$

153 We interpret U_s as the animal's swimming velocity and U_g as the sedimentation velocity in 154 the gravitational field. The term $(-U_g \cos \theta)$ is the projection of the sedimentation velocity on 155 the animal's swimming direction. In contrast to rigid cylindrical rods, the worm's settling 156 velocity U_g depends only weakly on orientation (θ). This perhaps results from the worm not 157 being perfectly straight and rigid. We estimate $\overline{U_s} \approx 411 \ \mu m/s$ and $\overline{U_g} \approx 432 \ \mu m/s$. The 158 angular velocity $\omega = \frac{d\theta}{dt}$ varied widely, but never exceeded 28 degrees/s.

To examine reproducibility of our data, we repeated our experiments in two continents (USA and Taiwan) and a few weeks apart and obtained similar results (e.g., **SI - Fig. S3**). We also demonstrated that the animals' azimuthal angle φ was uniformly distributed (**SI - Fig. S4**), suggesting that convective currents in our apparatus, if any, are unlikely to have biased our data.

In summary, WT animals align their swimming direction with the gravity vector. Can thisalignment be attributed to non-uniform mass distribution along the animal's length?

165

166 Paralyzed WT animals do not align with the direction of the gravity vector

A plausible cause for animals to align with the direction of gravity is a non-uniform mass distribution along the animal's body. Animals store fat primarily in the intestine (12), which is not present in the anterior 1/5th of the worm's body; therefore, the worm may be head-heavy.

If significant, a non-uniform mass distribution would cause animals to rotate in a gravitational
field. To isolate potential effects of non-uniform mass distribution, we experimented with
paralyzed animals.

We achieved muscle paralysis by either exposing WT animals to high temperature (heatshock) or by testing animals that carry a mutation in the major muscle myosin gene *unc-54* (13). Both heat-shocked WT animals and *unc-54* mutants maintained their initial inclination (polar) angle and did not align with the direction of gravity as they descended. Their settling velocity ($U_g \sim 432 \ \mu m/s$) is in excellent agreement with the estimated contribution of gravitational settling to the velocity of WT animals (equation 3 and Fig. 4).

Both paralyzed WT and unc-54 did not show any orientation preference during 179 sedimentation. The KDEs of the heat-shocked WT (SI-Fig. S10, 4 mm < d < 100 mm, and Fig. 180 5, 120 mm < d < 200 mm) and *unc-54* (Fig. S11, d = 40 mm, which is sufficiently far beneath 181 the water surface to allow animals to begin to adjust their polar angle) resemble a uniform 182 distribution in the polar angle and their descent angle θ is nearly symmetric with respect to 183 θ ~90°. Likewise, λ of paralyzed WT animals ranged from 0.01 to 0.33 consistent with a nearly 184 uniform distribution (inset in Fig. 5). Contrast Figs. 5 and S10 with Figs. 3 and S9. The 185 difference is striking. Active WT animals rotate to align with the gravity vector while paralyzed 186 animals do not vary their polar angle θ during their descent. The kernel distribution estimates 187 188 of Figs. 5 and S10 are statistically distinct from the kernel distribution estimate of active 189 animals Fig. 3 and S9 (p < 0.0001, Mann Whitney test (9)).

In summary, the marked difference between active and paralyzed animals indicates that the propensity to align with the gravity vector is mediated by active mechanisms. These experiments lend further support to our earlier conclusion that factors such as convective currents in our cuvette, if any, are not responsible for animal's orientation since they would have similarly impacted active and inactive animals. Does the level of animal's activity affecthow fast it orients itself with the gravitational field?

196

Starved animals and animals defective in muscle function (*unc-29*) align with the direction of the gravity vector at a slower rate than well-fed WT animals.

To determine whether the propensity for positive gravitaxis behavior is affected by the 199 200 dietary history or by mild impairment (non-paralysis) in body movements, we experimented 201 with starved (> 1 hour from last feeding) WT animals (Figs. S13 and S14) and with unc-29 202 mutants, which are mildly defective in muscle function due to a mutation in an acetylcholine 203 receptor subunit (14) (SI Figs. S15 and S16). In both cases, as the animals' depth beneath the 204 liquid surface (and residence time) increased, so did the skewness of their KDEs and the 205 magnitude of their concentration factor λ (SI Fig. S17), indicating that these animals still align 206 with the direction of the gravity vector; albeit at a slower rate than the well-fed, WT animals. 207 The concentration factor λ of the starved WT animals increased at the approximate rate of 0.03 mm⁻¹ with depth, about half that of the well-fed animals, until it attained the nearly stationary 208 value of 3.2 at 100 mm and greater depths. The concentration factor λ of *unc-29* mutants 209 increased at the approximate rate of 0.007 mm⁻¹ and attained $\lambda \sim 1.5$ at the depth of 200 mm, 210 211 which is the largest depth available in our experimental apparatus. Although the concentration factor λ of *unc-29* mutants did not show fully saturation, it did increase with time, in clear 212 213 contrast to fully paralyzed mutants.

In summary, the rate of animal's alignment with the direction of the gravitational field declines as the animal's swimming vigor decreases. Importantly, even animals with reduced swimming vigor, when given enough time, orient to align with the gravity vector. Our observations suggest that positive gravitaxis behavior does not solely rely on vigorous muscle movements. Can the propensity to align with the direction of gravity be attributed to

219 hydrodynamic effects?

220

221 Gravitaxis does not result from hydrodynamic effects

We reasoned that if the downward swimming orientation were the result of interactions 222 223 between the flow field induced by the swimmer and the flow field associated with downward sedimentation than, based on symmetry arguments, animals sedimenting upward should align 224 225 with the direction that is opposite to the direction to the gravity vector. To test this hypothesis, 226 we suspended well-fed WT animals beneath the surface of a LUDOX suspension that has 227 density slightly greater than that of the animals. Our experiments were complicated by the 228 animals floating to the surface and the LUDOX suspension having viscosity greater than water, 229 decreasing the rotational velocity of the animals and allowing them less time to orient in the gravitational field. In contrast to the predictions based on hydrodynamic symmetry 230 231 considerations, the animals rotated to orient downwards and swim in the direction of the gravity vector. Fig. 6 (A-J) shows 10 video frames, spaced 1s apart, of a young adult, well-fed, WT 232 233 worm. The red dot indicates the position of the worm's head. In the 10-seconds period of observation, the polar angle increased from an initial value of 49.1° in frame A to a value of 234 235 138.9° in frame J. Frame K depicts the skeletons of the worms from frames (A-J) shifted to 236 align their geometric centers.

Fig. 7 depicts the kernel (probability) density estimate of the polar angle θ shortly after the animals' introduction into the suspension, 5 s later, and 10 s later. At short times, the KDE resembles a *sin* function, characteristic of a uniform *pdf* in θ . As time passes, the peak of the kernel density estimate shifts to larger values of the angle θ and the magnitude of the concentration factor λ increases from nearly zero to 2.7. Therefore, animals suspended in a liquid that is either lighter (Fig. 3) or heavier (Fig. 7) than themselves rotate to swim in the direction of the gravity vector. In summary, our observation of downward swimming even
when sedimenting upwards indicates that hydrodynamics are not responsible for animals'
orientation. Gravitaxis is not caused by hydrodynamic effects.

246 There is another important conclusion that we can draw from this experiment. In both vertebrates and some aquatic invertebrates, gravity is sensed via the interaction between a proof 247 248 mass (a mass denser than its surrounding) and sensory cilia of specialized cells internal to the 249 animal. In contrast, certain insects, such as strepsiptera species, use their head as a proof mass to sense gravity. As the animal's head falls, the head brushes against hairs between the head 250 251 and thorax (2). By monitoring the direction of hair deformation, the animal senses the direction of gravity. Our experiment indicates that the gravity sensing mechanisms in C. elegans are 252 253 interior to the animal's body, as is the case in vertebrates and some aquatic invertebrates and are not affected by the direction of buoyancy. 254

255

256 Magnetotaxis and other taxis are not responsible for the worm orientation in 257 gravitational field

Vidal-Gadea et al (15) report that C, *elegans* orients to the earth's magnetic field during 258 259 vertical burrowing migrations. Well-fed adult worms of the N2 Bristol strain, which was isolated in the Northern Hemisphere, migrated up, while starved N2 worms migrated down. In 260 261 contrast, well-fed adult worms of the AB1 Adelaide strain, which was isolated in the Southern Hemisphere, migrated down while starved AB1 worms migrated up in response to the same 262 263 magnetic field. We have not observed similar tendencies in our experiments with C. elegans in solution. In our experiments, both well-fed (Figs. 2 and 3) and starved (SI Figs. S13, S14, and 264 265 S17) WT animals oriented with the direction of the gravity field and swam downwards. Wellfed AB1 worms (SI Figs. S18 - S20), like well-fed N2 worms, oriented downwards in the 266

vertical liquid column. Hence, the taxis mechanisms identified in reference (15) are unlikely toexplain our observations.

269 Since our experiments took place in a vessel subjected to uniform room light and 270 temperature, there is no gradient of light intensity or temperature and therefore no phototaxis 271 or thermotaxic stimulation. While C. elegans prefers low oxygen tensions (16, 17), our observations are unlikely to be explained by aerotaxis behavior because the concentrations of 272 273 gases are nearly uniform in our aqueous column, aside from O₂ consumption and CO₂ production by the worms, which is likely to be negligible on the time scale of our experiment. 274 275 Moreover, whereas in a low water density solution, the animals sedimented downward and aggregated at the bottom of the water column, in high-density solution, the animals sedimented 276 277 upwards and congregated at the top of the water column. Any gaseous gradient caused by consumption of oxygen or generation of carbon dioxide by aggregated animals would be 278 279 reversed in the high-density solution. Our observation that animal orientated downward 280 regardless of where the animals aggregated (at the top or the bottom) further indicates that 281 gaseous gradients do not explain our observations. In conclusion, given our exclusion of other known taxis behaviors, our observations indicate that the animals respond to gravity when 282 swimming in an aqueous solution. 283

284

285 Gravity sensing and ability to orient in gravitational field declines with age

Many of *C. elegans* sensing capabilities deteriorate with age (18). Some of this deterioration is identifiable with specific neuronal deficits. For example, the sensory dendrites of the FLP and PVD neurons, which are required for response to certain mechanical stimuli, degenerate with age (19). To examine aging worms' ability to sense and orient in gravitational field, we measured the angle of descent as a function of animal's age (**Fig. 8**) when located 40

291 mm beneath the liquid surface. The aged animal (day 6) has a broader kernel density estimate 292 than the young adult (day 1), indicating that a greater fraction of the animals failed to align 293 with the direction of gravity. The concentration parameter λ (inset in Fig. 8) decreases as the animal's age increases. Day 1 and day 2 adults animals behaved similarly with $\lambda = 2.9$ (N = 294 87) and 3.1 (N = 62), respectively. After day 2 of adulthood, there was a gradual decline in λ . 295 296 At day 6 of adulthood, which corresponds to a mid-life aged animal, $\lambda \sim 1.4$ (N = 50), which 297 is significantly smaller than that of day 1 adults (p = 0.0012, Mann Whitney test). In the age 298 range between 1 and 5 days, there is a gradual decline in the animals' ability to react to 299 gravitational field.

300

301 Gravitaxis requires sensory neurons function

We reasoned that if the worm senses gravity and deliberately orients in the downward 302 303 direction, we should be able to impair this behavior by selectively disrupting sensation with 304 minimal impairment of movement. Many sensory functions of C. elegans such as olfaction, gustation, thermosensation, nose-touch, magnetoreception, and electrosensation are mediated 305 306 by neurons that extend cilia to the nose of the animal. We hypothesize that gravity sensation 307 too is mediated by ciliated sensory neurons. To test this hypothesis, we analyzed the angle of descent of animals mutant for the gene osm-6 or for the gene che-2, which encode, respectively, 308 309 intra flagellar protein 52 (IFT52) and IFT80 and in which sensory cilia are globally disrupted (20). 310

The polar angles of the mutants *che-2* and *osm-6* did not vary as they descended. The kernel-density estimate plots (**Fig. 9**, 40 mm beneath liquid surface) suggest that both *che-2* and *osm-6* retain nearly uniform kernel density estimates with $\lambda = 0.1$ (N = 51) and 0.6 (N = 70), respectively. Their kernel density estimates were statistically distinct from the WT control (p < 0.0001, Mann Whitney Test). Our data suggests that the cilia-mutant animals show little 316 preference in their angle of descent. We conclude that ciliated sensory neurons are necessary 317 for gravitaxis.

318

319 Discussion

320 Hydrodynamists characterize motion of objects in fluids based on the relative importance of inertial and viscous forces, quantified by the Revnolds number Re=UD/v. Where U is the 321 322 object velocity, D is the object's characteristic length, and v is the kinematic viscosity of the 323 suspending fluid. The combined swimming and settling velocity U of C. elegans is less than 1 mm/s. The characteristic length scale, e.g., the diameter of C. elegans, D~80 µm and the 324 kinematic viscosity of water $v \sim 10^{-6}$ m²/s. In all our experiments, Re<0.1, viscous effects 325 dominate, and the equations of motion are linear (Stokes equation). When inertia effects are 326 327 negligible ($Re \rightarrow 0$), cylindrical objects with fore-aft symmetry released with an inclination angle θ sediment, in an unbounded medium, with the angle of release (11). Objects with non-328 329 uniform mass distribution turn to bring their center of mass beneath their centroid. If C. elegans were head heavy, it would eventually descend at $\theta = 180^{\circ}$ when $Re \rightarrow 0$. In the presence of weak 330 331 inertia (Re>0), a cylindrical object turns to attain horizontal (broadside, $\theta=90^{\circ}$) posture and 332 then settles horizontally with its center of mass descending in the direction of gravity (21).

In our experiments, paralyzed WT animals settling in water retained their initial orientation 333 (polar) angle and did not show any tendency to align with the direction of the gravity vector, 334 consistent with low Reynolds number hydrodynamic theory for cylindrical objects with fore-335 aft symmetry. This suggests that non-uniform mass distribution, if any, along the animal's 336 337 length is insignificant. Additionally, our data indicates lack of convective currents in the experimental apparatus that might have affected animals' orientation. Since motion-impaired 338 339 animals do not align with the direction of the gravity vector, such alignment requires active 340 mechanisms.

Prior studies indicate that C. elegans traits such as gait-synchronization (22), tendency to 341 swim against the flow (rheotaxis) (23, 24), and tendency to swim along surfaces (bordertaxis) 342 (25) are involuntary and can be explained by simple mechanics. Could an interaction between 343 the flow-field induced by the animal's swimming gait and the flow-field induced by the 344 animal's sedimentation cause the animal to rotate and align with the gravity field? If such an 345 alignment mechanism existed, one would conclude, based on symmetry arguments, that 346 347 animals suspended in a liquid denser than them would align in the opposite direction to that of the gravity vector. Our experiments with WT animals in LUDOX solution that is denser than 348 the animals (Figs. 6 and 7) indicate that this is not the case. Hence, we exclude hydrodynamics 349 350 as a possible explanation for gravitaxis.

Prior workers (15) reported that well-fed N2 strain (isolated in the Northern Hemisphere) and the well-fed AB1 strain (isolated in Southern Hemisphere) crawl in opposite directions in response to the same magnetic field. Moreover, starved N2 worms crawl in the opposite direction to that of well-fed N2 worms in a magnetic field. In contrast, we observed that both N2 and AB1 worms suspended in solution, regardless of being well-fed or starved, oriented downwards in our vertical liquid column, demonstrating that mechanisms identified in reference (15) do not explain our observations.

Our observations that *che-2* and *osm-6* mutants, which have the necessary motility to align with the direction of gravity, fail to do so, further support our conclusion that gravitaxis in *C*. *elegans* is deliberate, resulting from the animal's ability to sense the direction of gravity and act on this information.

Gravity-sensing organs in invertebrates may be either external or internal to the animal's body. The organ responsible for gravity sensing in *C. elegans* is still elusive. While a proof mass similar to that seen in vertebrate inner ears has not been reported in ultrastructural studies

15

of *C. elegans*, it is possible that such a mass may have escaped detection due to its destructionduring tissue fixation and preparation processes.

WT *C. elegans* exhibits positive gravitaxis in both suspending medium that is lighter and a suspending medium that is denser than the animal, demonstrating that gravity perception is not affected by the density of the medium external to the animal. Therefore, the organ responsible for graviatxis in *C. elegans* must be internal.

The evolutionary causes of positive gravitaxis behavior in *C. elegans* are a subject of speculation. One possibility is that when dwelling in wet soil, downward migration would keep the worms moist and away from the drying surface as well as distance them from air-borne predators. Downward migration in bodies of water, may provide protection from predators as well as direct the worms towards sources of food such as underwater flora and associated bacteria.

Regardless of the reason for gravitaxis, we have here shown that the microscopic 377 378 nematode C. elegans orients its swimming direction to align with the direction of the gravity 379 vector, and that this behavior is not the result of an unequal distribution of mass, hydrodynamic interactions, experimental artifacts, and other types of sensory-driven movements, or 380 381 hydrodynamic interactions. Taken together, our results indicate that C. elegans can sense and respond to the force of gravity. Our results suggest the possibility of leveraging the powerful 382 genetic and physiological toolkit of C. elegans to elucidate the molecular and circuit 383 384 mechanisms for gravity sensing – mechanisms that are still elusive.

385

386 Acknowledgements

We thank Drs. Christopher Fang-Yen and Abraham Wyner for useful discussions. W.L.C wasfunded by the Ministry of Education of Taiwan, Global Networking Talent 3.0 Plan (GNT3.0),

and the Medical Device Innovation Center, National Cheng Kung University.

390

391 Conflict of Interest

392 The authors declare no conflict of interest

393

394 Authors Contributions

395 DR and HHB planned experiments, HK carried out preliminary experiments, and WLC

396 performed the majority of experiments in the USA and in Taiwan, the latter with supervision

397 by HSC. DR, HHB, and WLC wrote the paper. All authors read and approved the paper,

398

399 **REFERENCES**

- Morita MT & Tasaka M (2004) Gravity sensing and signaling. *Current opinion in plant biology* 7(6):712-718.
- 402 2. Bender JA & Frye MA (2009) Invertebrate solutions for sensing gravity. *Current biology :*403 *CB* 19(5):R186-190.
- 404 3. Magnes J, Susman K, & Eells R (2012) Quantitative locomotion study of freely
 405 swimming micro-organisms using laser diffraction. *Journal of visualized experiments :*406 *JoVE* (68):e4412.
- 407 4. Yuan J, Ko H, Raizen DM, & Bau HH (2016) Terrain following and applications:
 408 Caenorhabditis elegans swims along the floor using a bump and undulate strategy.
 409 Journal of the Royal Society, Interface 13(124).
- 5. Di Giuseppe E, Davaille A, Mittelstaedt E, & Francois M (2012) Rheological and
 mechanical properties of silica colloids: from Newtonian liquid to brittle behaviour. *Rheol Acta* 51(5):451-465.
- 413 6. Reina A, Subramaniam AB, Laromaine A, Samuel ADT, & Whitesides GM (2013) Shifts
 414 in the Distribution of Mass Densities Is a Signature of Caloric Restriction in
 415 Caenorhabditis elegans. *Plos One* 8(7).
- 416 7. Giuseppe ED, Davaille A, Mittelstaedt E, & François M (2012) Rheological and
 417 mechanical properties of silica colloids: from Newtonian liquid to brittle behavior. .
 418 *Rheol Acta* 51:451-465.
- 419 8. Lin LC & Chuang HS (2017) Analyzing the locomotory gaitprint of Caenorhabditis

420		elegans on the basis of empirical mode decomposition. <i>Plos One</i> 12(7).
421	9.	Epanechnikov VA (1969) Non-parametric estimation of a multivariate probability
422		density. Theor. Probab. Appl. 14:153-158.
423	10.	Mardia KV & Jupp PE (2000) Directional statistics (J. Wiley, Chichester ; New York) pp
424		xxi, 429 p.
425	11.	Happel J & Brenner H (1983) Low Reynolds number hydrodynamics (Kluwer Academic,
426		Boston).
427	12.	Ashrafi K (2007) Obesity and the regulation of fat metabolism. WormBook : the online
428		review of C. elegans biology:1-20.
429	13.	Gieseler K, Qadota H, & Benian GM (2017) Development, structure, and maintenance
430		of C. elegans body wall muscle. WormBook : the online review of C. elegans biology
431		2017:1-59.
432	14.	Fleming JT, et al. (1997) Caenorhabditis elegans levamisole resistance genes lev-1, unc-
433		29, and unc-38 encode functional nicotinic acetylcholine receptor subunits. J Neurosci
434		17(15):5843-5857.
435	15.	Vidal-Gadea A, et al. (2015) Magnetosensitive neurons mediate geomagnetic
436		orientation in Caenorhabditis elegans. Elife 4.
437	16.	Zimmer M, et al. (2009) Neurons detect increases and decreases in oxygen levels using
438		distinct guanylate cyclases. <i>Neuron</i> 61(6):865-879.
439	17.	Gray JM, et al. (2004) Oxygen sensation and social feeding mediated by a C. elegans
440		guanylate cyclase homologue. <i>Nature</i> 430(6997):317-322.
441	18.	Collins JJ, Huang C, Hughes S, & Kornfeld K (2008) The measurement and analysis of
442		age-related changes in Caenorhabditis elegans. WormBook : the online review of C.
443		elegans biology:1-21.
444	19.	E L, et al. (2018) An Antimicrobial Peptide and Its Neuronal Receptor Regulate Dendrite
445		Degeneration in Aging and Infection. <i>Neuron</i> 97(1):125-138 e125.
446	20.	Inglis PN, Ou G, Leroux MR, & Scholey JM (2007) The sensory cilia of Caenorhabditis
447		elegans. WormBook : the online review of C. elegans biology:1-22.
448	21.	Khayat RE & Cox RG (1989) Inertia Effects on the Motion of Long Slender Bodies. J Fluid
449		Mech 209:435-462.
450	22.	Yuan J, Raizen DM, & Bau HH (2014) Gait synchronization in Caenorhabditis elegans.
451		Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America
452		111(19):6865-6870.
453	23.	Bau HH, Raizen D, & Yuan J (2015) Why do worms go against the flow? C. elegans
454		behaviors explained by simple physics. <i>Worm</i> 4(4):e1118606.
455	24.	Yuan J, Raizen DM, & Bau HH (2015) Propensity of undulatory swimmers, such as
456		worms, to go against the flow. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the
457		United States of America 112(12):3606-3611.

458 25. Yuan J, Raizen DM, & Bau HH (2015) A hydrodynamic mechanism for attraction of
459 undulatory microswimmers to surfaces (bordertaxis). *Journal of the Royal Society,*460 *Interface* 12(109):20150227.

- 461
- 462

463 LIST OF FIGURE CAPTIONS

464 1. Experimental set-up (Isometric View)

Wild-type animals rotate to align their direction of motion as they descend in solution.
(A-J) 10 video frames spaced 1s apart of a descending young adult, wild-type worm. The
red dot indicates the position of the worm's head. The animals are 6-12 mm beneath the
water surface. The polar angle varied from 5.1° (frame A) to 141.5 (frame J). (K) The
skeletons of the worms from (A-J) were shifted to align their geometric centers to better
describe animal's rotation.

- 3. Wild-type worms change their preferred orientation as they settle in solution. Kerneldensity of wild-type swimmers' orientation angle (θ) at positions 4 mm (Δ , N=145), 12 mm (\circ , N=141), 40 mm (\diamond , N=120), 60 mm(\Box , N=133), 80 mm (\blacksquare , N=126), and 100 mm (+, N=123) beneath the liquid surface. The figure was produced with the MatlabTM function "ksdensity" with "bandwidth" of 15. The inset depicts the concentration parameter λ as a function of the animal's position (*d* mm) beneath the surface. KDEs for depths >100 mm are provided in SI Section S5.
- 478 4. Translational velocity and Sedimentation velocity of worms during gravitaxis. 479 Translational velocity of first day adult WT (N=79) and of motion-impaired adult mutant 480 unc-54 (N = 52) as functions of $-\cos \theta$, where $\theta = 0$ corresponds to upward orientation.
- 481 5. Paralyzed WT worms retain random distribution of their orientation as they settle in

482 solution. Kernel (probability) density estimate (KDE) of heat-shocked paralyzed WT

- 483 animals at positions 120 (Δ , N=125), 140 (\circ , N=128), 160 (\Diamond , N=133), 180 (\Box , N=127),
- and 200 (**a**, N=126), mm beneath the liquid surface. The bandwidth of the KDE smoothing

485 window is 15. See SI for KDEs of paralyzed WT at smaller depths (Fig. S10). Inset: the 486 concentration parameter λ as a function of depth. λ remains close to zero consistent with 487 uniform (random) distribution.

488 6. Wild-type animals rotate to align their direction of motion downward when suspended

in a solution denser than the animals. (A-J) 10 video frames spaced 1s apart of a young
adult, wild-type worm. The red dot indicates the position of the worm's head. The polar
angle varied from 49.1° in frame A to 138.9° in frame J. (K) The skeletons of the worms
in (A-J) were shifted to align their geometric centers.

493 7. Gravitaxis does not affected by direction of buoyancy. The kernel (probability) density
494 estimate of orientation angle of animals suspended in LUDOX HS-40 suspension (density
495 1.1 g/mL and viscosity about 7 times that of water) shortly (< 2s) after the animals
496 introduction into the suspension, 5s later, and 10s later. N₀ = 31, N_{5s} = 30, and N_{10s} = 36.
497 In depicting the KDE curves, we used MatlabTM default values.

Aging adults have impaired ability to orient with the gravity vector. Kernel(probability) density estimate of the orientation angle θ of day 1 (AD 1, solid line) and day
6 (AD6, □) adults at 40 mm beneath liquid surface. The inset depicts the concentration
parameter λ as a function of age. For day 6 animals, p < 0.01 (**, Mann Whitney Test).
N_{AD1} = 87, N_{AD2} = 62, N_{AD3} = 60, N_{AD4} = 55, N_{AD5} = 40, N_{AD6} = 50, In depicting the KDE
curves, we used MatlabTM default values.

9. Sensory mutants *che-2* and *osm-6* show defects in downward orientation. Kerneldensity estimate plot of angle of descent of sensory mutants and of wild-type controls at 40
mm beneath liquid surface. The distributions of angles of descent of *che-2* and *osm-6*mutants are all broader than that of wild-type animals and approximate random distribution.
Compared to WT distribution, p < 0.0001 (Mann Whitney Test). N_{WT}=87, N_{che-2}=51, and
N_{osm-6}=70. In depicting the KDE curves, we used MatlabTM default values.



Figure 1: Experimental set-up (Isometric View)



Figure 2: Wild-type animals rotate to align their direction of motion as they descend in solution. (A-J) 10 video frames spaced 1s apart of a descending young adult, wild-type worm. The red dot indicates the position of the worm's head. The animals are 6-12 mm beneath the water surface. The polar angle varied from 5.1° (frame A) to 141.5 (frame J). (K) The skeletons of the worms from (A-J) were shifted to align their geometric centers to better describe animal's rotation.



Figure 3: Wild-type worms change their preferred orientation as they settle in solution. Kernel-density of wild-type swimmers' orientation angle (θ) at positions 4 mm (Δ , N=145), 12 mm (\circ , N=141), 40 mm (\diamond , N=120), 60 mm(\Box , N=133), 80 mm (\bigstar , N=126), and 100 mm (+, N=123) beneath the liquid surface. The figure was produced with the MatlabTM function "ksdensity" with "bandwidth" of 15. The inset depicts the concentration parameter λ as a function of the animal's position (*d* mm) beneath the surface. KDEs for depths >100 mm are provided in SI Section S5.



Figure 4: Translational velocity and Sedimentation velocity of worms during gravitaxis. Translational velocity of first day adult WT (N=79) and of motion-impaired adult mutant *unc-54* (N = 52) as functions of $-\cos \theta$, where $\theta = 0$ corresponds to upward orientation.



Figure 5: Paralyzed WT worms retain random distribution of their orientation as they settle in solution. Kernel (probability) density estimate (KDE) of heat-shocked paralyzed WT animals at positions 120 mm (Δ , N=125), 140 mm (\circ , N=128), 160 mm (\diamond , N=133), 180 mm (\Box , N=127), and 200 mm (\clubsuit , N=126) beneath the liquid surface. The bandwidth of the KDE smoothing window is 15. See SI for KDEs of paralyzed WT worms at smaller depths (Fig. S10). Inset: the concentration parameter λ as a function of depth. λ remains close to zero consistent with uniform (random) distribution.



Figure 6: Wild-type animals rotate to align their direction of motion downward when suspended in a solution denser than the animals. (A-J) 10 video frames spaced 1s apart of a young adult, wild-type worm. The red dot indicates the position of the worm's head. The polar angle varied from 49.1° in frame A to 138.9° in frame J. (K) The skeletons of the worms in (A-J) were shifted to align their geometric centers.



Figure 7: Gravitaxis does not affected by direction of buoyancy. The kernel (probability) density estimate of orientation angle of animals suspended in LUDOX HS-40 suspension (density 1.1 g/mL and viscosity about 7 times that of water) shortly (< 2s) after the animals introduction into the suspension, 5s later, and 10s later. $N_0 = 31$, $N_{5s} = 30$, and $N_{10s} = 36$. In depicting the KDE curves, we used MatlabTM default values.



Figure 8: Aging adults have impaired ability to orient with the gravity vector. Kernel-(probability) density estimate of the orientation angle θ of day 1 (AD 1, solid line) and day 6 (AD6, \Box) adults at 40 mm beneath liquid surface. The inset depicts the concentration parameter λ as a function of age. For day 6 animals, p < 0.01 (**, Mann Whitney Test). N_{AD1} = 87, N_{AD2} = 62, N_{AD3} = 60, N_{AD4} = 55, N_{AD5} = 40, N_{AD6} = 50, In depicting the KDE curves, we used MatlabTM default values.



Figure 9: Sensory mutants *che-2* and *osm-6* show defects in downward orientation. Kerneldensity estimate plot of angle of descent of sensory mutants and of wild-type controls at 40 mm beneath liquid surface. The distributions of angles of descent of *che-2* and *osm-6* mutants are all broader than that of wild-type animals and approximate random distribution. Compared to WT distribution, p < 0.0001 (Mann Whitney Test). N_{WT}=87, N_{che-2}=51, and N_{osm-6}=70. In depicting the KDE curves, we used MatlabTM default values.